

Best Available Copy



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

B9

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/135,504	06/22/98	IGBINADOLR	

LM02/0727

EXAMINER
GRANT, L.

PHILLIP IGBINADOLR
240-27 CANEY ROAD
ROSEDALE NY 11422

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
22711	17

DATE MAILED:

07/27/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/135,504	Applicant(s) IGBINADOLOR
	Examiner Christopher Grant	Group Art Unit 2711

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Christopher Grant (3) _____
 (2) Phillip Igbinadolor (4) _____

Date of Interview Jul 26, 2000

Type: Telephonic Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement was reached. was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-4

Identification of prior art discussed:

none

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Discussed the rejections indicated to in the Office Action mailed 7/20/00. The examiner informed applicant to delete all new subject matter and/or to clearly point where in the substitute specification (by means of a mark-up copy) the subject matter can be found relative to the originally filed specification. The examiner also informed applicant to submit a new substitute specification and mark-up copy for review. Applicant was informed to inspect the cited patents for directions on how to construct claims. The examiner also informed applicant to schedule a personal interview in order to advance prosecution on the merits. Applicant contends that the Office Action and the telephone interview are sufficient.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.


CHRISTOPHER GRANT
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 2711

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.